1 THE HONORABLE _____ 2 Suzanne Kelly Michael, WSBA #14072 Matthew J. Macario WSBA #26522 3 Dean L Petitta, WSBA #58295 FISHER PHILLIPS LLP 4 1700 7th Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, WA 98101 5 Tel: 206-682-2308 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT YAKIMA 9 BARRETT BUSINESS SERVICES, NO. INC.. 10 Plaintiff, 11 **COMPLAINT** VS. JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 12 CHARLES COLMENERO and JANE DOE COLMENERO and the marital community comprised thereof; and 13 SANTIAGO ALEJO and JANE DOE ALEJO, and the marital community comprised thereof, 14 Defendants. 15 Comes now Plaintiff Barrett Business Services, Inc., and by way of 16 Complaint against the Defendants Charles Colmenero ("Colmenero") and Jane 17 Doe Colmenero ("Jane Doe 1"), and Santiago Alejo ("Alejo") and Jane Doe 18 Alejo ("Jane Doe 2", and together with Colmenero, Alejo and Jane Doe 1, 19 collectively "Defendants"), states and alleges as follows: 20 FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP 1700 7TH AVENUE, SUITE 2200 COMPLAINT - Page 1 SEATTLE, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 682-2308 Fax: (206) 682-7908

FP 45147869.1

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

1. **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff Barrett Business Services, Inc. (hereafter "Plaintiff" or 1.1 "BBSI") is, and all times mentioned was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland, with its corporate office in Vancouver, Washington.
- 1.2 Defendant Colmenero is an individual who resides in and is a citizen of Yakima County, Washington, and upon information and belief is married. All acts and omissions by Colmenero were on behalf of and for the benefit of his marital community.
- 1.3 Defendant Alejo is an individual who resides in and is a citizen of Yakima County, Washington, and upon information and belief is married. All acts and omissions by Alejo were on behalf of and for the benefit of his marital community.
- 1.4 Upon information and belief, Defendant Jane Doe 1 is an individual who resides in and is a citizen of Yakima County, Washington, and is believed to be married to Defendant Colmenero.
- 1.5 Upon information and belief, Defendant Jane Doe 2 is an individual who resides in and is a citizen of Yakima County, Washington, and is believed to be married to Defendant Alejo.

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2. <u>JUSRISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 2.1 This court has jurisdiction over the parties in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question). There is federal question jurisdiction under the Federal Defend Trade Secrets Act.
- 2.2 Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the defendants reside in this district, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this district.

3. <u>FACTS</u>

Colmenero and Alejo's Employment by Plaintiff

- 3.1 Plaintiff is a leading human resources management company that contracts with small and medium-sized businesses to provide comprehensive human resources management solutions, including temporary staffing and professional employer organization services.
- 3.2 Colmenero began working for Plaintiff as an Area Manager in Hermiston, Oregon in April 2014.
- 3.3 Alejo began working for Plaintiff as a recruitment specialist in July 2015.

<u>Plaintiff Gave Colmenero and Alejo Access to Plaintiff's Confidential</u> <u>Information</u>

3.4 In connection with their employment, Colmenero and Alejo were provided with and had access to confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information belonging to Plaintiff.

3.5 Colmenero received the Employee Handbook (*See* Exhibit A) and signed the acknowledgment on April 25, 2014 (*See* Exhibit B). Alejo received the Employee Handbook (*See* Exhibit A) and signed the acknowledgement on July 17, 2015. (*See* Exhibit C). When they signed the acknowledgement/receipt, they confirmed that they agreed that:

I am aware that during the course of my employment confidential information will be made available to me, for instance, product designs, marketing strategies, customer lists, pricing policies and other related information. I understand that this information is proprietary and critical to the success of BBSI and must not be given out or used outside of BBSI's premises or with non-BBSI employees. In the event of termination, whether voluntary or involuntary, I hereby agree not to utilize or exploit this information with any other individual or company.

See Exhibits A, B and C.

3.6 Colmenero and Alejo also received Barrett Business Services, Inc. Code of Business Conduct (*See* Exhibit D) and the Acknowledgement and Confirmation of Receipt of the BBSI Code of Business Conduct on April 25, 2014 and July 17, 2015, respectively. (*See* Exhibits E and F). They agreed that they would:

--Avoid conflicts of interest where possible and disclose and handle ethically any conflicts that do arise;

2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

--Protect Barrett's confidential and proprietary information and that of our customers and vendors;

See Exhibits E and F.

In addition, the Defendants are bound by the Plaintiff's non-solicitation policies and are believed to have breached those as well.

3.7 Moreover Plaintiff's Employee Handbook, by which Colmenero and Alejo agreed to abide, set forth Plaintiff's policies governing employees' access to Plaintiff's trade secrets and other confidential and proprietary information:

Each employee has the duty to respect and protect the confidentiality of all such information, whether belonging to Barrett or others with which Barrett does business, and not to use that information for personal advantage.

See Exhibit A.

3.8. In addition to maintaining policies set forth above and requiring employees to acknowledge receipt of the code of conduct, the Plaintiff maintained rigorous information technology security protocols, include requiring usernames and passwords for employees, and allowing various levels of access to sensitive information depending upon position. *Id*.

Colmenero and Alejo Breached their Obligations to Plaintiff

- 3.09 On July 13, 2022, Colmenero ceased his employment with Plaintiff.
- 3.10 On July 8, 2022, Alejo ceased his employment with Plaintiff.

3.11 By August, 2022, Plaintiff learned that Colmenero and Alejo had established a competing business, Repsel Associates, Inc. dba Personna Employer Services while working for Plaintiff.

- 3.12 Upon discovery of the information that they had established this competing company while working for Plaintiff and while subject to the various obligations to which they had agreed, Plaintiff subsequently discovered that the Defendants were approaching Plaintiff's customers and soliciting their business in direct violation of the various agreements and covenants imposed by law and contract.
- 3.13 Plaintiff also developed information that the Defendants were indeed directly competing with the Plaintiff and in fact soliciting and obtaining Plaintiff's customers by using Plaintiff's confidential and proprietary lists, processes, strategies, and pricing information. This lawsuit followed promptly.

4. <u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>

4.1 BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 4.1.a. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates all preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 4.1.b. The agreements and covenants signed by the Defendants Colmenero and Alejo are enforceable contracts between Defendants and Plaintiff.

1

- 4.1.c. Defendants breached the agreements by utilizing confidential and proprietary information they learned from Plaintiff.
- 4.1.d. Defendants separately and independently breached their agreements by soliciting Plaintiff's customers, clients, and workers.
- 4.1.e. Colmenero and Alejo's breach has caused Plaintiff irreparable harm and damages and will continue to do so unless immediately restrained from continued breach of their agreements.

4.2 BREACH OF WASHINGTON TRADE SECRETS ACT (RCW 19.108.010(4))

- 4.2.a. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges all prior paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 4.2.b. Plaintiff holds many trade secrets. Colmenero and Alejo had access to Plaintiff's trade secrets, including but not limited to information regarding Plaintiff's customers/clients; pricing; methods; strategies; and techniques, all of which are proprietary and confidential trade secrets.
- 4.2.c. Plaintiff has taken measures to prevent unauthorized disclosure or use of its trade secret information.
- 4.2.d. As a direct and proximate result of Colmenero and Alejo 's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury.

4.3 VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL DEFEND TRADE SECRETS ACT OF 2016 ("DTSA") (18 U.S.C. § 1836(b))

- 4.3.a. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges all prior paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 4.3.b. Plaintiff holds many trade secrets. Colmenero and Alejo had access to Plaintiff's customers; pricing; methods; and techniques as proprietary and confidential trade secrets.
- 4.3.c. Plaintiff spends considerable time and resources maintaining the confidentiality of its trade secrets.
- 4.3.d. Plaintiff has taken measures to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of its trade secret information.
- 4.3.e. Colmenero and Alejo acquired and had access to Plaintiff's confidential information through improper means.
- 4.3.f. As a direct and proximate result of Colmenero's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer irreparable injury.

4.4 TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

- 4.4.a. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges all prior paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 4.4.b. Colmenero and Alejo interfered with Plaintiff's business relationships by sharing Plaintiff's confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information with their current company/employer. Defendants separately and

independently intentionally interfered with Plaintiff's business by unfairly soliciting Plaintiff's clients, customers, and workers.

4.4.c. As a result of Colmenero and Alejo's tortious interference with Plaintiff's relationships with its customers, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer economic and reputational harm.

4.5 APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY, PRELMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUCTIONS

4.5.a. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges all prior paragraphs by reference as though fully set forth herein.

4.5.b. Colmenero and Alejo's conduct is wrongful because they are in breach of their contractual obligations and have misappropriated Plaintiff's confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information. Should their conduct go unabated, they are expected to continue to disclose and otherwise misuse Plaintiff's confidential information or trade secrets and disadvantage Plaintiff. Additionally, Colmenero's and Alejo's breaches of their contractual obligations and unauthorized possession of Plaintiff's trade secret information will continue to cause irreparable harm to Plaintiff for which there is no adequate remedy at law, including, without limitation, loss of business opportunities and loss of goodwill and business reputation. Such harm is not readily reduced to dollar damages.

4.5.c. Colmenero and Alejo also knowingly and intentionally breached a duty not to solicit Plaintiff's workers, clients, and customers. Should their conduct go unabated, they are expected to continue to leverage their personal relationships with Plaintiff's workers, clients, and customers to disadvantage Plaintiff. This too will cause Plaintiff irreparable harm with no adequate remedy at law, including, without limitation, loss of business opportunities and loss of goodwill and business reputation. Such harm is not readily reduced to dollar damages.

4.5.d. There is a substantial likelihood that Plaintiff will prevail on the merits. Colmenero and Alejo violated RCW 19.108.010(4) and the DTSA, and the terms of their agreements both by retaining and misappropriating company information and by soliciting Plaintiff's clients, customers, and workers.

4.5.e. Plaintiff seeks a temporary restraining order until a date set for hearing (not to exceed fourteen days from the date of the order) and, after notice and a hearing, a preliminary injunction preventing Colmenero and Alejo from further breach of their Agreements and restricting them both from use and further misappropriation of Plaintiff's trade secrets and confidential information and from further soliciting clients, customers, and workers until a trial on the merits. A temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction are necessary to preserve Plaintiff's rights pending a trial on the merits and is warranted by both RCW 19.108.010(4) and the DTSA.

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP 1700 7TH AVENUE, SUITE 2200 SEATTLE. WA 98101

Phone: (206) 682-2308 Fax: (206) 682-7908

4.5.f. The threatened injury to Plaintiff outweighs any possible damage to Colmenero and Alejo because an injunction would simply require Colmenero and Alejo to return and stop using the trade secret information that they misappropriated from Plaintiff and to stop soliciting Plaintiff's clients, customers, and workers.

4.5.g. The public interest is served by an injunction as it protects Plaintiff's confidential information and goodwill and prevents further abuse by the Defendants.

4.5.h. Specifically, Plaintiff requests that this Court:

- (a) Issue a temporary restraining order:
 - i. requiring Colmenero and Alejo to return all confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information belonging to Plaintiff that is still within their possession;
 - ii. enjoining and restraining Colmenero and Alejo from making use of confidential, proprietary and trade secret information belonging to Plaintiff for any reason;
 - iii. enjoining and restraining Colmenero and Alejo from further soliciting Plaintiff's clients, customers, and workers;
 - iv. requiring Colmenero and Alejo to make available for forensic imaging any electronic device, including smartphones and computers, that he used to access emails containing Plaintiff's confidential, proprietary, and trade secret information;

2

3

- (b) Issue a preliminary injunction, enjoining and restraining Colmenero and Alejo from the conduct set forth in Paragraph (a)(ii) above pending final determination of this action; and
- (c) Issue a permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Colmenero and Alejo from the conduct set forth in Paragraph (a)(ii).

5. ATTORNEY'S FEES

5.1 Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees and costs.

6. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

6.1 All conditions precedent to Plaintiff's claim for relief have been performed or have occurred, or were otherwise met, waived or excused.

7. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>

7.1 Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues triable.

8. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

A. Judgment in its favor and against Colmenero and Alejo for: temporary, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief; for damages as are fair and reasonable, including actual damages, consequential damages, punitive damages, equitable relief, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, costs, and attorneys' fees; and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

1	В.	Civil judgment against Colmenero and Alejo for all causes of action
2	alleged;	
3	C.	Judgment against Colmenero and Alejo for attorneys' fees and costs
4	as allowed l	by law and contracts;
5	D.	Prejudgment interest; and
6	E.	For such other and further relief as the court deems to be just and
7	equitable.	
8	DAT	ED this 15 th day of September 2022.
9		
10		FISHER & PHILLIPS, LLP
11		By s/Suzanne K. Michael
12		Suzanne Kelly Michael, WSBA #14072
13		By <u>s/Matthew J. Macario</u> Matthew J. Macario WSBA #26522
14		1700 7th Avenue, Suite 2200
15		Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 682-2308
16		Email: smichael@fisherphillips.com Email: mmacario@fisherphillips.com
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff
18		
19		
20		FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP

FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP 1700 7TH AVENUE, SUITE 2200 SEATTLE, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 682-2308 Fax: (206) 682-7908

COMPLAINT - Page 13